Introduction

The major histocompatibility complex (Mhc) is a dynamic multigene family found in vertebrates encoding immunoglobulinlike receptors which bind foreign peptides (short protein fragments) for presentation to T-cells (Klein 1986; Klein, Satta, and O’Huigin 1993). An Mhc molecule, T-cell receptor, and peptide are the three key players required to initiate cellular immune responses to infectious agents (Barber and Parham 1993; Germain and Margulies 1993). In the past, the structure and function of Mhc molecules were principally of interest to immunologists, but as ecologists and evolutionary biologists have begun to appreciate the prominent role of parasites in the ecology, evolution, behavior, and conservation of vertebrates, Mhc genes have drawn attention as a major immunological mechanism against invasion by parasites (Hedrick and Miller 1995; Edwards and Potts 1996; Sheldon and Verhulst 1996). Additionally, deciphering the genetic forces of mutation, selection, recombination, and gene conversion underlying the extraordinary polymorphism of some Mhc genes has proven to be a challenge to population geneticists (Hedrick and Thomson 1983; Hughes and Nei 1989; Takahata and Nei 1990; Erlich and Gyllensten 1991; Takahata, Satta, and Klein 1992; Satta et al. 1993, 1994; Hedrick 1994; Edwards et al. 1997; Hedrick and Kim 1998). The Mhcs of humans and other mammals are the best known, but recent molecular studies have made inroads to understanding the Mhcs of natural populations of fish, amphibians, birds, and reptiles (e.g., Dixon et al. 1995; Edwards 1996; Radtkey et al. 1996; Sammut, Laurens, and Tourneuf 1997).

The evolution of Mhc genes in birds is of particular interest for a variety of reasons. As oviparous (egg-laying) vertebrates, birds provide critical tests of some hypotheses for the maintenance of Mhc polymorphism proposed for mammals (e.g., maternal-fetal interaction hypotheses; Hedrick and Kim 1998). Indeed, we know little about levels and patterns of polymorphism in natural populations of any avian Mhc genes. The chicken Mhc is known to be much smaller and contain far less noncoding DNA than the Mhcs of many mammals (Trowsdale 1995), and it would be useful to know if this situation characterizes other birds, and why. Other genes of immunological importance, such as immunoglobulin VL genes, are thought to have evolved and are known to somatically hypermutate in ways completely different from those of mammals (Reynaud et al. 1987; McCormack, Tjoelker, and Thompson 1991), warranting investigation of other immunoglobulin superfamily genes of birds. Finally, based on cDNA sequences, Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts (1995) suggested that the long-term history of avian Mhc genes may be characterized by higher rates of concerted evolution, or more recent gene duplications, than that of mammalian Mhc genes, a suggestion that should be corroborated at the genomic level.

One practical goal to understanding Mhc evolution in a variety of contexts is to characterize Mhc genes in enough detail that the targets of selection on Mhc genes—e.g., those domains that bind foreign peptides, the peptide-binding regions (PBRs)—can be rapidly surveyed for allelic polymorphism at the sequence level in a large number of individuals. In the case of class II Mhc genes, which differ from class I and III genes in their structure, expression, and mode of evolution, the PBR is encoded by a single exon, the second exon, about 90 amino acids long. The ability to amplify this exon by PCR from individual class II genes is preferable to restriction fragment analysis, so that alleles from or-
evolution of the \textit{Mhc} multigene family will dictate the ease with which this can be accomplished. If, as in mammals, the class II genes evolve primarily in a divergent manner in which different \textit{Mhc} genes remain distinct and diverge from one another after relatively ancient gene duplications (Nei and Hughes 1992), then orthologous genes and their flanking regions will evolve unique sequences that distinguish them from their paralogs in the same genomes. In contrast, it has been suggested that the class II genes of birds evolve in a concerted manner or have duplicated recently, resulting in substantial similarity among different genes in both coding and noncoding regions (Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995; Edwards 1996). Although this mode of evolution needs to be confirmed and characterized in more detail for birds, in principle, it would make it more difficult to study the evolution of individual \textit{Mhc} genes, because there are fewer sequence motifs distinguishing \textit{Mhc} paralogs from orthologs.

Researchers have taken a variety of approaches to attack these problems. In well-characterized \textit{Mhc}s in which intron sequences are known, it seems best to place PCR primers in flanking introns. This way, the entire PBR can be characterized, and if genes are evolving divergently, it is likely that variable introns will differ among genes. Thus, for example, the individual class II genes of a variety of mammals (e.g., She et al. 1990; Figueroa et al. 1994; Brunsberg et al. 1996; Edwards et al. 1997) and fish (Graser et al. 1996; Sato et al. 1996) have been amplified using primers targeted toward the introns flanking the second exon. However, this method does not guarantee clean amplification of single loci in some species, as evidenced by surveys of chicken class II genes, in which intron primers sometimes amplify more than one locus (Zoorob et al. 1993). In principle, locus-specific motifs can help distinguish the loci amplified, although some interpretation is necessary here (Zoorob et al. 1993). More commonly, class II \textit{Mhc} genes of less well characterized genomes have been accessed by placing primers in two conserved blocks internal to the second exon (e.g., Edwards, Grahn, and Potts 1995; Vincek et al. 1997). In mammals, this approach is efficient and usually amplifies single loci (e.g., Murray, Malik, and White 1995), although occasionally related loci are coamplified, as in the case of primate DQB and DXB genes (Gyllensten, Lashkari, and Erlich 1990). However, functionally important polymorphisms are missed by this method, since the primers are internal to the PBR. As molecular ecological studies of the \textit{Mhc} become more detailed, linking variation in individual amino acids in the PBR to phenotypic variation, as has been extensively explored in humans (e.g., Apple and Erlich 1992; Hill et al. 1992), the data resulting from this omission will be less satisfactory. In nonmammalian vertebrates, primers internal to the PBR almost inevitably amplify more than one \textit{Mhc} locus and occasionally non-\textit{Mhc} loci (Edwards, Grahn, and Potts 1995; Vincek et al. 1997), with the result that the assignments of sequences to individual loci, if attempted, occur in a post hoc fashion once the sequence polymorphisms have been studied. Again, this method can work in principle as long as different genes are distinguished by motifs, but it is unlikely that this approach will satisfy geneticists used to exploring \textit{Mhc} structure in genetically well characterized model species with inbred lines and pedigreed populations (Kaufman et al. 1991; Flajnik et al. 1993; Miller et al. 1994, 1996).

With these ideas in mind, we have genomically characterized an \textit{Mhc} class II gene from the red-winged blackbird (\textit{Agelaius phoeniceus}). Red-winged blackbirds are a common North American songbird whose extreme sexual dimorphism and variable mating system have made it a model system for ecological, evolutionary, and phylagenetic studies (Orians and Beletsky 1989; Weatherhead 1990; Lanyon 1994). There are some data on parasite prevalence in natural populations (Weatherhead 1990; Weatherhead and Bennett 1991), and experimental field manipulations have helped identify some of the targets of selection at the organismal level (Weatherhead and Clark 1994; Searcy and Yasukawa 1995; Rohwer, Langston, and Gori 1996). Gibbs et al. (1991) investigated \textit{Mhc} RFLPs in this species by probing genomic DNA with a mouse class II probe but was unconvinced that the polymorphism detected was due to \textit{Mhc} variation and not a polymorphic, non-\textit{Mhc} locus. Thus, a major goal of this study was to begin to characterize the structure of \textit{Mhc} genes in blackbirds to aid in surveys of \textit{Mhc} polymorphism. No \textit{Mhc} genes from birds other than chickens have been characterized at the genomic level, although coding sequences for a number of class I and II \textit{Mhc} genes are known for several birds (mostly game birds), including red-winged blackbirds (Wittzell et al. 1994; Edwards, Grahn, and Potts 1995; Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995; Shina et al. 1995; Vincek et al. 1995). Red-winged blackbirds appear to contain a large number of class II \textit{Mhc} or \textit{Mhc}-like sequences as judged by Southern blots (unpublished data), making it particularly important to characterize individual genes in detail.

Materials and Methods
Cosmid Library Construction and Screening
Isolation of high-molecular-weight genomic DNA from blackbird blood and construction and screening of a cosmid library was performed as in Longmire et al. (1993). We isolated genomic DNA from a frozen blood sample of a female blackbird from Washington state by proteinase K digestion and gentle phenol-chloroform extraction, followed by dialysis in 1 × TE overnight. No RNase incubation was necessary, as the level of RNA in blood-derived DNA from birds is minimal. Four micrograms of genomic DNA was partially digested with Sau3A so that most DNA was cut into fragments of >23 kb, dephosphorylated and ligated into vector arms of sCos-1 vector. 90,000 colonies were screened using Hybond N+ filters and the ECL nonradioactive screening kit (Amersham) as per manufacturer’s instructions and hybridization conditions. Probes spanning exons 1–4 of a red-winged blackbird class II RT-PCR product were derived by amplification of clone 2.1 with primers 8 and 10 of Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts (1995). Prospective cosmid clones were
replated and rescreened twice. Once isolated, the presence of \textit{Mhc} sequences on these clones was confirmed by Southern hybridization (ECL), amplification, and direct sequencing of conserved segments of exons 2 and 3 using primers Rwex2f (5’ → 3’: TTCTATACGGCACGGAG) and Rwex2r (GGCCGAAACACCTCGTATG) for exon 2 and primers Rwex3f and Rwex3r (GTGATGGATTTCGACCTGC and CAGCTGTAGGTGAGGCC, respectively) for exon 3.

**Shotgun Subcloning, Sequencing, and Survey of Polymorphism**

As part of a large scale sequencing study of avian \textit{Mhc} genes, we prepared a subcloning library from one of the \textit{Mhc}-bearing cosmids, Rwcos3, using standard shotgun subcloning methods (Wilson et al. 1992; Rowen, Koop, and Hood 1996) that will be outlined in detail elsewhere. Briefly, 1.5–2.5-kb fragments of Rwcos3 were generated by sonication, and then the sheared ends of these fragments were repaired by DNA polymerase and blunt-end-ligated to pUC18. To focus immediately on those subclones bearing \textit{Mhc} genes, as opposed to noncoding or other DNA, several thousand subclone colonies were screened using a probe generated by PCR from one of the blackbird cDNAs using primers Rwex2f and Rwex3r. Although subcloning of \textit{Mhc}-bearing fragments directly from cosmids in this way can be less effective in obtaining subclones spanning entire genes than subcloning restriction fragments, in practice, fewer novel sequencing primers need to be designed, because subclone ends overlap rather than abut and are not confined to restriction sites, thus facilitating a stratified random sequencing approach (for a similar approach applied to \textit{Mhc} genes, see Robertson and McMaster 1985). Subclones from eight positive colonies were then isolated and sequenced using standard plasmid primers and dye-terminator chemistry on an ABI 373A automated sequencer. Sequences were assembled and aligned to one another and to other bird sequences manually using Genetic Data Environment (GDE) software.

To survey polymorphism in the PBR of the gene thus sequenced, we obtained blood and tissue samples from eight blackbirds from a single locality near Gainesville, Fla. (locality details available on request). PCR products were generated from genomic DNA of these birds using primers targeted to introns 1 and 2 flanking exon 2 (fig. 3) and were cloned in PCR 2.1 and pNoTA/T7 vectors (Invitrogen and 5’ → 3’→ >3’ kits, respectively). The 41 resulting sequences were aligned by eye using sequencing of conserved segments of exons 2 and 3 using \textit{Mhc} class II \textit{B} sequences were amplified and sequenced from each of these clones (not shown). Preliminary restriction mapping indicated that cosmids 3 and 7, and possibly 10, overlapped partially, without detectable overlap in cosmids 1 and 5 (fig. 1). Because of the large number of \textit{Mhc}-hybridizing bands detected in digests of clone 3, this clone was chosen for further analysis (fig. 1). Since chicken \textit{Mhc} genes can occur within 5 kb of one another, we reasoned that a large number of bands could indicate multiple \textit{Mhc} genes on the same cosmid clone.

The cosmide 3 subclones generated after sonication, repair, and ligation (see Materials and Methods) were plated and screened with blackbird cDNA sequences, and of the many positive clones, 10 were picked for further analysis. Sequencing of the ends of each of these clones immediately revealed the presence of an \textit{Mhc} gene(s) (fig. 2). Subclone ends were found to be spread across the gene but nonetheless clustered, a phenomenon which has been observed in other experiments of this type. Sequencing from the subclone ends alone permitted a total of nearly 2 kb of unique \textit{Mhc} sequence occurring in three clusters to be determined. All overlapping sequences matched each other exactly without ambiguities, suggesting that one gene had been subcloned. Inspection of the final sequence revealed the presence of a single \textit{Pst} I restriction site in exon 2 (fig. 3). Although our probing of Rwcos3 cut with \textit{Pst} I revealed three fragments, the middle, fainter fragment may be the result of spurious hybridization, and the number of \textit{Pst} I sites found in our sequence is nonetheless consistent with fragment sizes (>2 kb) in our blot data (fig. 1). Although the possibility of two very similar, if not iden-
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**Fig. 1.**—Probing of five Mhc-containing cosmid clones (1, 3, 5, 7, and 10) with a blackbird cDNA probe. *Left panel,* Hybridization patterns of clones cut with Pst I. *Right panel,* Hybridization pattern of clones cut with BamHI double digests. Size markers in kilobases are indicated.

**Fig. 2.**—Scale diagram of subclone coverage of the blackbird class II B gene Agph-DAB1. Intron/exon sizes of the gene are to scale according to the sequence in Fig. 3. The numbering begins at 0 at the start (ATG) codon. Subclone borders are indicated by vertical lines, with subclone numbers indicated to the left. The location of a (TC)7 microsatellite is indicated. The coverage of the cDNA probe is indicated by a solid bar above the gene diagram. The lengths of sequence determined by sequencing the ends of each subclone with vector primers are indicated by arrows. The question mark next to clone E6 indicates that the end of this subclone was not determined.

The most striking finding in the comparison of Agph-DAB1 with a chicken BL-B gene is the large intron sizes of the blackbird gene. All four introns of Agph-DAB1 examined are larger than their chicken counterparts, ranging from 1.1 (intron 4) to 7.3 times (intron 3) as large, making the gene the largest avian class II B gene cloned to date, at over 2.2 kb. The intron-exon structure of Agph-DAB1 is otherwise similar to that of chicken BL-B genes, with a similarly sized leader peptide and β1 and β2 exons corresponding to the PBR and immunoglobulin-like domains, respectively (Klein et al. 1990).
**Fig. 3.** Genomic DNA sequence of *Agph-DAB1* compared with a chicken class II B gene (BL-BII; Zoorob et al. 1990) and a previously published red-winged blackbird cDNA (`cDNA1.1; clone 1.1 of Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995). The amino acid sequence of *Agph-DAB1* is indicated above coding regions, and cumulative numbers of each sequence, including gaps, are indicated at the right. Individual exons and introns and the single *Pst*I site are indicated, as are the forward and reverse primers used to amplify exon 2 (5′ → 3′: Rwcos3I1f.1: ACTGAGCTGTGTCCTGCACT; Rwcos3I2r.1: CCGAGGGGACACGCTCT, respectively). In intron 3, the repeated sequence motif TGGGAGGG is underlined.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Intron 1</th>
<th>Intron 2</th>
<th>Intron 3</th>
<th>Intron 4</th>
<th>Exon 1</th>
<th>Exon 2</th>
<th>Exon 3</th>
<th>Exon 4</th>
<th>Exon 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackbird</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbird</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbird</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackbird</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: In each cell, the number above is the percentage for Agph-DAB1, and the number below is that for the BL-BII gene (Zoorob et al. 1990). 1, 2, and 3 in exon columns indicate first, second, and third positions of codons in those exons, respectively. In the top section, numbers above and below are lengths in base pairs for the indicated region in blackbird and chicken, respectively.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence Type</th>
<th>1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4a</th>
<th>4b</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7a</th>
<th>7b</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 . . . . . . .</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total . . . . .</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey of Polymorphism in the PBR

We designed two primers to amplify the PBR encoded by exon 2 (figs. 2 and 3). These primers were placed close to the exon borders so that they would amplify a small product that could eventually be analyzed by indirect methods of mutation detection and so that the amplified DNA would contain fewer polymorphisms found outside the PBR. The primers faithfully amplified a clean 289-bp product from eight blackbird genomic DNAs. Three to ten products per individual were cloned (table 2) and sequenced (fig. 4). The large number of variable sites was clustered in subdomains of the PBR known to be variable in chickens and mammals, with a total of 191 variable sites in the 270-bp coding region (fig. 4). Some pairs of sequences were very closely related (e.g., types 1a and 1b differing by four adjacent nucleotides in the α-helix region of the PBR), whereas other pairs were very divergent (e.g., types 3 and 6, and O’Hugiuin 1993). If the cytoplasmic tail of Agph-DAB1 is similar to that in chickens, as it appears to be on the basis of the short intron 4 and exon 5 (Zoorob et al. 1990), then our clones covered to within 100 bp of the stop codon. The middle of the introns have many repeated sequence variants (e.g., TGGAGGG repeated non-tandemly 7 times in intron 3; TGGGA repeated 18 times non-tandemly throughout gene), and a (TC)7 microsatellite appears just 5’ of exon 3 (figs. 2 and 3).

The coding regions of Agph-DAB1 contain several features expected for functional Mhc genes, such as conserved cysteine codons and all of the conserved residues involved in salt bonds, glycosylation, disulfide bonds, the PBR, and peptide-binding listed in tables 1 and 3 of Kaufman, Salomonsen, and Flajnik (1994). The base compositions of the blackbird gene and a chicken gene are presented in table 1. Like chicken class II genes (Kaufman, Salomonsen, and Skoedt 1991; Kaufman 1995) Agph-DAB1 is rich in G’s and C’s, particularly in introns and in the third positions of codons. We searched for regulatory sequences (e.g., X and Y boxes) found in chicken BL-B β2-microglobulin genes (Kroemer et al. 1990; Riegert et al. 1996) using blast searches of the 5’ region of Agph-DAB1, but the best match was a weak similarity to exonic chicken myosin smooth muscle light chain mRNA (GenBank accession number GGMLC2), a doubtful homology.
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Fig. 4.—PBR sequences determined from eight blackbirds from Florida. Amino acid sequences of type 1a are indicated above the sequence, and codons are numbered beginning with the first PBR codon. The frameshift indels in types 3 and 6 are indicated, with dashes inserted in other sequences to preserve alignment. Four traditionally recognized subdomains (She et al. 1991) are indicated by solid lines. Plus signs indicate the 24 codons observed to contact peptide in a human allele (Brown et al. 1993). Numbers below various codons indicate the numbers of parsimony steps undergone by amino acids at those codons on the tree in fig. 5 after types 3 and 6 were removed (see text). cl.1–3 are blackbird cDNA clones from Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts (1995). The chicken sequence is from the B12 haplotype (Xu et al. 1989).
89.0% corrected divergence; see legend of fig. 4). Our alignment is compatible with two in-frame, single-codon indel polymorphisms near the 3’ ends of the sequences, whereas types 3 and 6, each retrieved multiple times from within and/or between three individuals, contained 1- and 2-bp indels, respectively (fig. 4). Phylogenetic analysis (fig. 5) resulted in a bushlike tree in which sequences did not fall into strongly marked groups separated from one another by long branches, and few clusters were well supported by bootstrap analysis. Previously sequenced cDNAs from red-winged blackbirds fall inside the genomic sequences but do not cluster strongly with any new type, whereas the genomic sequence cloned from individual 7 (fig. 3) corresponds to type 5, which, perhaps surprisingly, was not among four sequences amplified from this individual (figs. 4 and 5).

As previously shown for exon 3 (Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995), five chicken PBRs known to correspond to different loci fall into two groups corresponding to the two linkage groups of the chicken Mhc (fig. 5). The depth of the clade including these two groups is somewhat deeper than that spanned by the blackbird PBR sequences.

More than two sequences were retrievable from 6 of the 8 individuals (table 2). In all but one of these cases (individual 6), at least three of the amplified sequences were divergent enough from one another (>20%) to make it unlikely that the differences between sequences were solely the result of Taq errors or in vitro recombination of PCR products. Sequence type 1a (fig. 4) was the most commonly encountered sequence (18 of 41 clones, or 44%) and was found in all but one individual (table 2).

Patterns of Nucleotide Substitution

An analysis of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions was carried out on the 24 amino acids known to contact peptides in the PBR in a crystallized human allele (fig. 4 and table 4). Across all pairwise comparisons of the 10 types, the mean \( d_s \) was 0.303 ± 0.086 and the mean \( d_N \) was 0.520 ± 0.063 substitutions per site. Ratios of \( d_N \) to \( d_s \) ranged from 0.71 between the very similar types 1a and 1b to 4.89 between the very divergent types 1b and 5. A plot of \( d_N \) on \( d_s \) (not shown) indicates that the nonsynonymous substitutions at the 24 PBR sites of blackbird sequences are saturated among the most distant comparisons (maximum \( d_N = 88\% \)). However, a tree built only on synonymous substitutions on the whole exon (maximum \( d_s = 34\% \)) shares the characteristics of the tree in figure 5.

To detect regions of the PBR that are evolving in an anomalous fashion, potentially due to recombination, we conducted an SPV analysis using PLATO. Regardless of whether the two sequences with frameshift mutations were included, the anomalous regions identified in the analysis corresponded very closely to functional subdomains of the PBR (table 3). The variation in the BS-1 and α-helix displayed significantly anomalous substitution patterns relative to other regions; BS-2 and BS-3, which appear to be highly variable in the sequences (fig. 4), may have been missed because these segments are short (Grassly and Holmes 1997).

Discussion

Genomic cloning and characterization of Mhc genes is useful for molecular ecologists interested in examining immunological aspects of ecology and behavior of genetically poorly known vertebrates. In principle, knowledge of Mhc coding should set the stage for further PCR experiments to obtain flanking intron sequences that will facilitate analysis of Mhc polymorphisms in such a way that functionally important variability will

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beginning Nucleotide</th>
<th>Ending Nucleotide</th>
<th>Codons Spanned</th>
<th>PBR Subdomain</th>
<th>Z value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 0 . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>24 0 . . . . . . . . 4-8</td>
<td>BS-1</td>
<td>3.81*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155 0 . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>159 0 . . . . . . . . 51-53</td>
<td>α-helix</td>
<td>5.35*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166 0 . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>170 0 . . . . . . . . 55-56</td>
<td>α-helix</td>
<td>3.45*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185 0 . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>209 0 . . . . . . . . 61-69</td>
<td>α-helix</td>
<td>5.48*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238 0 . . . . . . . . .</td>
<td>248 0 . . . . . . . . 79-82</td>
<td>α-helix</td>
<td>10.30*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Beginning and ending nucleotides, codons spanned, and locations of PBR subdomains are indicated in figure 4.

* \( P < 0.05 \).
Table 4

Estimates of the Numbers of Synonymous (\(d_s\), above diagonal) and Nonsynonymous (\(d_N\), below diagonal) Substitutions per PBR Site and Standard Errors for 10 Types of Mhc Class II B Sequence from Red-Winged Blackbirds (type designations as per table 2 and Fig. 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type 1a</th>
<th>1b</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4a</th>
<th>4b</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7a</th>
<th>7b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base Composition Suggests a Functional Gene

Is Agph-DAB1 a functional gene? Without data on expression patterns, this remains ambiguous. However, a number of features suggest that it is at least functional, if not as polymorphic as some highly expressed chicken class II B genes. First, we found no frameshift mutations or premature stop codons throughout our sequence, and several structural features expected for functional class II genes are found in the PBR exon (see Results; fig. 3). Second, the base composition of Agph-DAB1 is similar to (although slightly lower than) that of a functional chicken gene, and, more significantly, the base composition of coding sequences differs markedly from that of introns. For example, the base composition of third positions for Agph-DAB1 is consistently higher (mean = 90.3 ± 11.0%) than that for introns and untranslated regions (69.0 ± 5.4%) for the blackbird gene. If the base composition of Mhc-coding regions is maintained by stabilizing selection (Bulmer 1991), we might expect even third positions to differ in base composition from noncoding sites, which are likely less constrained. However, if Agph-DAB1 is nonfunctional and its base composition is primarily the result of directional mutation pressure without selection, then base composition of coding and noncoding regions would be expected to be similar. We also note a consistent trend toward increasing GC% as one moves from the beginning to the end of Agph-DAB1, although the basis for this trend is obscure. Third, others have noted a positive correlation between expression and polymorphisms of avian class II B genes (Zoorob et al. 1993). Although we cannot unambiguously quantify polymorphism in Agph-DAB1, since the amplified sequences are difficult to assign to a particular locus (see below), this pattern may not apply here.

Phylogenetic Consequences of Interlocus Gene Conversion

That the primers we designed to amplify the second exon appeared to amplify more than one Mhc locus is not unprecedented. More than one class II B locus can be amplified from the chicken Mhc using intron primers (Zoorob et al. 1993), although coamplification of loci is rarer in mammalian Mhc studies (see Introduction). In
six individual blackbirds, we retrieved up to three sequences, a result also consistent with the amplification of a minimum of two loci. This result becomes even less surprising when one compares all known avian intron sequences immediately flanking exon 2 (fig. 6). These flanking sequences, particularly intron 1, display a conservatism between blackbirds, chickens, and pheasants (Wittzell et al. 1994) in the first 30–40 bp that is unexpected if intron sequences are free to vary. Preliminary data from house finches (Carpodacus mexicanus), another songbird species, reveal sequences even more similar to those flanking Agph-DAB1 (unpublished data).

Such a pattern could result from selective constraints on the sequences at the ends of the introns or, since these sequences were cloned at random with respect to orthologs from other species, other forces promoting intergenic similarity, such as concerted evolution or recent duplication. Data supporting the latter interpretation come from the observation that similar sequences flank different paralogous chicken genes when compared to one another (Zoorob et al. 1990) (fig. 6). This suggests a pattern in which class II B genes of birds have duplicated rapidly, long after these species have diverged from common ancestors, and have retained flanking sequences from a gene in the common ancestor of songbirds and chickens (Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995). The bushlike pattern observed in the tree diverged from common ancestors, and have retained flanking sequences from a gene in the common ancestor of songbirds and chickens (Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995). The results from phylogenetic analysis of chicken class II exons are consistent with the idea that frequent interlocus gene conversion occurs within each of the two linkage groups (Edwards, Wakeland, and Potts 1995) (fig. 5). Red-winged blackbirds have a large number of Mhc or Mhc-like sequences in their genomes, as judged by Southern blots (unpublished data). Until the blackbird class II B gene family is better defined, the hypothesis of frequent interlocus gene conversion within linkage groups must rest on less direct evidence.

We considered the possibility that the majority of patterns produced in the tree and sequence analyses are artifactual and plagued by PCR and cloning errors (L’Abbe et al. 1992; Bradley and Hillis 1997). We have quantified the extent of such errors for bird Mhc genes and various primers and have found that point mutations occur at a rate of 1 per 1,000 nucleotides, and recombination events occur in about 1 in 20 templates (Edwards, Grahn, and Potts 1995). However, we reject the idea that the bushlike nature of our tree is solely artifactual, because exactly the same techniques used on mammalian and avian Mhc loci nonetheless produced
recognizable clusters corresponding to different loci. Indeed, the vast majority of surveys of nucleotide polymorphism at Mhc loci are still performed using similar techniques. The large number of Mhc-like sequences in the blackbird genome undoubtedly contributes to the complexity of the patterns observed (unpublished data).

The two sequence types in which frameshift mutations occurred (types 3 and 6) probably represent real variants, and hence pseudogenes, since exactly the same sequences were retrieved from the same or different individuals (although a precise bias in Taq and cloning errors cannot be ruled out). Other Mhc pseudogenes have been discovered using similar methods (Bruensberg et al. 1996). When the 2-bp indel is removed from type 6 sequences, a premature stop codon (TAG) appears. The relatively long branches associated with these types (statistically significant [δ = 0.128, Z = 3.36, P < 0.01] in the case of type 3 by a two-cluster test; Takezaki, Rzhetsky, and Nei 1995) are also consistent with those expected and found for nonfunctional avian sequences (Ota and Nei 1995). No class II pseudogenes are known from the chicken Mhc, although Mhc-like pseudogenes are numerous in mammalian genomes, and some chicken class II genes are expressed at very low levels.

Pseudogenes and Recombination

The patterns of nucleotide substitution displayed by the sequences suggest that they are influenced by balancing selection and or recombination/gene conversion. The high dS/dD ratios indicative of balancing selection are a well-known hallmark of Mhc genes, and the class II genes of birds, including chicken, appear to display similar patterns despite the difficulty of knowing allelic relationships. The SPV analysis identified at least two noncontiguous regions displaying anomalous nucleotide signatures. Although in principle this test alone cannot distinguish between selection and high mutation, if these results are interpreted in the context of segmental exchange, they suggest a gene conversion mechanism, since simple recombination at points within the PBR where there have produced a pattern in which a single anomalous region is sequenced in one end of the sequences. Because such regions were separated by multiple regions that apparently evolve concordantly, the analysis suggests a pattern of gene conversion or motif-shuffling similar to that found in class II genes of a variety of mammals (Andersson et al. 1991; Erlich and Gyhlensten 1991; Gyhlensten, Sundvall, and Erlich 1991; She et al. 1991; Andersson and Mikko 1995). Regardless of mechanisms, the large divergences among the blackbird sequences are even more striking when considered against the backdrop of an extremely low level of mitochondrial DNA variation (<1%) in this species (Ball et al. 1988).

Our sequence analysis suggests several fruitful lines of further inquiry. If we knew which clusters in the tree of sequences corresponded to individual loci, then it might be possible to interpret even complex, multilocus patterns on, say, SSCP gels. Additionally, it is possible that locus-specific primers could be identified based on PBR polymorphisms. This approach would have the advantage of specificity but would yield incomplete PBR sequences for study. Another approach is to place primers farther away from the ends of the PBR exon. Our comparison of blackbird and chicken sequences suggests that this might be a useful approach, since the conservation of sequences in introns 1 and 2 appears to decay as one moves away from the flanking exons, so much so that the blackbird and chicken introns are essentially unalignable (figs. 3 and 6). PCR products generated in this way might be too long for analysis of polymorphism by rapid methods of mutation detection, but this is a small price to pay for locus specificity. On the other hand, if the conserved sequences flanking chicken and blackbird PBRs (fig. 6) prove to be taxonomically widespread, this could greatly facilitate molecular entry into the Mhc of genetically unstudied birds. Further studies of intron evolution in bird class II B genes should help in these endeavors.
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